

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/997,652	11/29/2001	Naoto Ohashi	SHC0160	SHC0160 7387	
35684	7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
BUTZEL LONG 350 SOUTH MAIN STREET			REICHLE, KARIN M		
SUITE 300	MAIN SIREEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ANN ARBOR	R, MI 48104		3761		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			51
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/997,652	OHASHI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karin M. Reichle	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, wat fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or reply must be filed within one of the grate of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	cation in st for Continued ods: ichever is later. In on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) and the second property is filed within the time per AMENDMENTS 	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of iod set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	is of the date of filing f the appeal. Since a	the Notice of Notice of Appeal
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(PTUL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ALCONOMIC ACCOUNTS		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	or be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a second control of the control	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	date of filing a brief, al and/or appellant fa	will <u>not</u> be ils to provide a

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13.

⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

K.M. levelle.

Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to page 5, after the third paragraph and to claim 1 as well as new Figure 11 raise new issues which would require further consideration and/or search. For example, the amendment to the specification is incomplete, i.e. what Figure no.? For another example, where in the detailed description is proposed Figure 11 described? For a third example, in proposed claim 1, where is antecedent basis for the end portions of the diaper? Are the end portions on the last two lines those of the diaper or the absorbent member? Are the end portions and waist portions of the diaper one and the same? Also note the following section. Also, the rejection of claims 1 and 3 in paragraph 6 of the last Office Action has not been responded to.

Continuation of 13. Other: The drawings filed 4-19-05 are not approved by the Examiner, see discussion above.